"An Ounce of Prevention" Avoiding Migraine Before it Starts: The Preventive Role of Migraine Management January 21, 2022

Utica Park Clinic Headache Center Jaclyn R. Duvall, M.D.

<u>Jaclyn.Duvall@Hillcrest.com</u> Board Certified Neurologist Fellowship Trained Headache Specialist



Disclosures

- Speakers Bureau: Abbvie/Allergan,
 Biohaven, Eli Lilly, Impel, Teva, Lundbeck
- o Consultant: Abbvie/Allergan, Biohaven, Teva

I will be discussing off-label medications

- Migraine prophylaxis is an FDA-labeled indication for: divalproex sodium, propranolol, timolol, topiramate, erenumab, fremanezumab, galcanezumab, and eptinezumab
- Chronic migraine prophylaxis is an FDA-labeled indication for: onabotulinumtoxinA, erenumab, fremanezumab, galcanezumab, and eptinezumab
- Neurostimulator devices marketing allowed by FDA for migraine prevention
- All other prophylactic pharmaceuticals are used without FDA label for the indications discussed



Learning Objectives

- Identify patients who should be offered preventive migraine medications.
- Compare treatment options for prevention of migraine.
- Recognize new treatment options for prevention of migraine.
- Demonstrate preventive migraine treatment optimization in utilization.



#1 Reason for referral to a Neurologist

Lipton RB, et al. *Headache*. 2001.



#1 Reason for referral to a Neurologist
 12% of the U.S. adult population (36-40 million migraine sufferers in the US)



Pietrobon D, et al. *Annu Rev Physiol*. 2013. Burch R, et al. *Headache*. 2018.; American Diabetes Associatoin. Statistics about diabetes. <u>https://www.diabetes.org/resources/statistics/statistics-about-diabetes</u>. 3. Centeres for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Epilepsy data and statistics. <u>https://www.cdc.gov/epilepsy/data/index.html</u>. 4. CDC. Asthma Data https://www.cdc.gov/asthma/most_recent_national_asthma_data.htm

crest

o#1 Reason for referral to a Neurologist

- 12% of the U.S. adult population (36-40 million migraine sufferers in the US)
- 67% of patients consult their primary care provider for migraine and 10% of primary care visits in the U.S. are for migraine

Burch R, Rizzoli P, Loder E. The prevalence and impact of migraine and severe headache in the United States: figures and trends from government health studies. *Headache*. 2018;58(4):496-505.



HILLCREST HEALTHCARE SYSTEM Write This Down

https://primarycare.americanheadachesociety.org



hillcrest

o#1 Reason for referral to a Neurologist

- 12-14% of the U.S. adult population (36-40 million migraine sufferers in the US)
- 67% of patients consult their primary care provider for migraine and 10% of primary care visits in the U.S. are for migraine
- Effective preventive treatment can reduce migraine frequency, restore functioning, and reduce risk of progression to more severe disease

Dodick et al. Headache. 2016; Lipton et al. Cephalalgia. 2016.



o#1 Reason for referral to a Neurologist

- 12-14% of the U.S. adult population (36-40 million migraine sufferers in the US)
- 67% of patients consult their primary care provider for migraine and 10% of primary care visits in the U.S. are for migraine
- Effective preventive treatment is essential
- Diagnosis is a critical step to optimal migraine management; however, ~50% go misdiagnosed or undiagnosed

Dodick et al. Headache. 2016; Lipton et al. Cephalalgia. 2016.



Diagnosis of Migraine

- Part 1: Primary Headaches (symptom-based)
- Part 2: Secondary (etiology-based)



- Part 3: Cranial neuralgias, facial pain, and other headaches
- Appendix

Find it at www.ichd-3.org

ICHD-3. Cephalalgia. 2018.



BET ON MIGRAINE

MIGRAINE

At least 5 attacks

Headache attacks lasting 4-72 hr (untreated to unsuccessfully treated)

- Unilateral location
- Pulsating quality
- Moderate or severe pain intensity
- Aggravation by or causing avoidance of routine physical activity

- Nausea and/or vomiting
- Photophobia and phonophobia



MIGRAINE

At least 5 attacks

Headache attacks lasting 4-72 hr (untreated to unsuccessfully treated)

- Unilateral location
- Pulsating quality
- Moderate or severe pain intensity
- Aggravation by or causing avoidance of routine physical activity

- Nausea and/or vomiting
- Photophobia and phonophobia

Just need 2 of these!

rest

MIGRAINE

At least 5 attacks

Headache attacks lasting 4-72 hr (untreated to unsuccessfully treated)

- Unilateral location
- Pulsating quality
- Moderate or severe pain intensity
- Aggravation by or causing avoidance of routine physical activity

- Nausea and/or vomiting
- Photophobia and phonophobia

Just need 2 of these!

rest

Just need 1 of these!



Episodic vs. Chronic Migraine (CM) ≥15 headache days, 8 migraine Many people who meet chronic migraine diagnosis are misdiagnosed.



Only **25%** of patients who see *any physician* received a Chronic Migraine diagnosis

Dodick et al. Headache. 2016; Lipton et al. Cephalalgia. 2016; Data on file, Allergan, 2014; Barriers to Chronic Migraine Care.



Episodic vs. Chronic Migraine (CM) ≥15 headache days, 8 migraine Many people who meet chronic migraine diagnosis are misdiagnosed.



Only **25%** of patients who see *any physician* received a Chronic Migraine diagnosis



Only **36%** of patients who see a *specialist* received a Chronic Migraine diagnosis^{2,3}

Dodick et al. Headache. 2016; Lipton et al. Cephalalgia. 2016; Data on file, Allergan, 2014; Barriers to Chronic Migraine Care.



Migraine Prevention

 Of the 38% of individuals that should be considered for preventive treatment of migraine, only 13% actually receive it.

We are under-utilizing preventives

Dodick et al. Headache. 2016; Lipton et al. Cephalalgia. 2016; Data on file, Allergan, 2014; Barriers to Chronic Migraine Care.



Migraine Prevention

- Reduce attack frequency, severity, and duration
- Improve responsiveness to and avoid escalation in use of acute treatment
- Improve function and reduce disability, including headache-related distress, improved quality of life, and/or psychological symptoms
- Enable patients to manage their own disease to enhance a sense of personal control
- Reduce overall cost associated with migraine treatment

Bonafede M, Sapra S, Shah N, Tepper S, Cappell K, Desai P. Direct and indirect healthcare resource utilization and costs among migraine patients in the United States [published online February 15, 2018]. Headache. doi: 10.1111/head.13275. American Headache Society. Headache. 2019;59:1-18.



- Attacks that significantly <u>interfere</u> with a patient's <u>quality of life</u> and daily routine despite trigger management, appropriate use of acute medications, and lifestyle modification strategies.
- <u>Frequent</u> headaches (four or more attacks per month or eight or more headache days per month).
- Failure of, contraindication to, overuse of, or troublesome side effects from <u>acute</u> medications.
- Patient <u>preference</u>, that is, the desire to have as few attacks as possible.
- Presence of certain migraine <u>conditions</u>: hemiplegic migraine, migraine with brainstem aura, frequent, prolonged, or uncomfortable aura symptoms, or migrainous infarction.

American Headache Society. Headache. 2019;59:1-18



18

Episodic Migraine

4-7 monthly headache days

8-14 monthly headache days

Chronic Migraine

15+ Headache Days

American Headache Society. Headache. 2019;59:1-18









American Headache Society. Headache. 2019;59:1-18



11 L C R E S T H E A L T H C A R E S Y S T E M 27-Year-Old Woman





Migraine Preventives

Established Efficacy⁺

Antiepileptic Drugs Divalproex sodium^a Valproate sodium^a Topiramate^a

Beta-Blockers Metoprolol

⁶ Propranolol Timolol

Triptans: Froivatriptanb

OnabotulinumToxin A*

CGRP Antagonists mAbs, Gepants

+More than 2 Class I trials based on AAN Scheme for Classification of Evidence ++One Class I or 2 Class II studies based on AAN Scheme for Classification of Evidence +++One Class II study based on AAN Scheme for Classification of Evidence a Not for use in women of childbearing potential who are not using an appropriate method of birth control. b For short-term prophylaxis of menstrually-related migraine *Chronic migraine only

Probably Effective++

Antidepressants Amitriptyline Venlafaxine

Beta-Blockers Atenolol Nadolol

Possibly Effective+++

ACE inhibitors: Lisinopril

Alpha-agonists Clonidine Guanfacine

Antiepileptic drugs: Carbamazepine

Beta-Blockers Nebivolol Pindolol

Antihistamines: Cyproheptadine

Angiotensin receptor blockers: Candesartan

American Headache Society. Headache. 2019;59:1-18

hillcrest

Poor Prevention Adherence

- One in five patients will discontinue a preventive medication due to tolerability or safety issues.
- 25% of those with chronic migraine continue to use oral preventives for more than one year after it was started.



Gracia-Naya et al. Rev Neurol. 2011;53(4):201-8. Hepp Z, et al. Cephalalgia. 2015;35(6):478-88.

crest

Why is Prevention Adherence So Low?

Figure 4. Patient-reported reasons for discontinuation of preventive treatments for migraine (IBMS-II study; n=1,165)³



IBMS-II = the second International Burden of Migraine study. Data source: Blumenfeld AM et al., 2013.³

Ilcrest

- Start low and titrate (reach a therapeutic dose) Give an adequate trial
 - *A <u>full trial may take 2 to 6 months before the maximal response</u> to a treatment is evident.



- Start low and titrate (reach a therapeutic dose) Give an adequate trial
 - *A <u>full trial may take 2 to 6 months before the maximal response</u> to a treatment is evident.
- Establish realistic expectations
 - 50% reduction in frequency, duration, or severity of migraine attacks



- Start low and titrate (reach a therapeutic dose) Give an adequate trial
 - *A <u>full trial</u> may take 2 to 6 months before the maximal response to a treatment is evident.
- Establish realistic expectations
 - 50% reduction in frequency, duration, or severity of migraine attacks
- Optimize drug selection



- Start low and titrate (reach a therapeutic dose) Give an adequate trial
 - *A <u>full trial</u> may take 2 to 6 months before the maximal response to a treatment is evident.
- Establish realistic expectations
 - 50% reduction in frequency, duration, or severity of migraine attacks
- Optimize drug selection
- Involve patients in their care to maximize compliance.



- Start low and titrate (reach a therapeutic dose) Give an adequate trial
 - *A <u>full trial may take 2 to 6 months before the maximal response</u> to a treatment is evident.
- Establish realistic expectations
 - 50% reduction in frequency, duration, or severity of migraine attacks
- Optimize drug selection
- Involve patients in their care to maximize compliance.
- Re-evaluate therapy; migraine may improve or remit independent of treatment. *If headaches are well controlled for 6-12 months, *slowly* taper and, if possible, discontinue the drug.

Hien Ha, Gonzalez A. Am Fam Physician 2019. American Headache Society. Headache. 2019;59:1-18



30

Examples of Medication Trials

Topiramate (Topamax)

Week 1: 25 mg HS Week 2: 25 mg BID Week 3: 25 mg in the morning, 50 mg HS Week 4: 50 mg BID

Consider: overweight, mood stabilization, severe migraine

Educate: paresthesias, kidney stones (calcium phosphate), weight loss, depression

Avoid: pregnancy, anorexia, history of kidney stones, glaucoma

American Headache Society. Headache. 2019;59:1-18



Examples of Medication Trials

Topiramate (Topamax)

Week 1: 25 mg HS Week 2: 25 mg BID Week 3: 25 mg in the morning, 50 mg HS Week 4: 50 mg BID

Consider: overweight, mood stabilization, severe migraine

Educate: paresthesias, kidney stones (calcium phosphate), weight loss, depression

Avoid: pregnancy, anorexia, history of kidney stones, glaucoma

Amitriptyline (Elavil)

Week 1: 10 mg HS Week 2: 20 mg HS Week 3: 30 mg HS Week 4: 40 mg HS Week 5: 50 mg HS

Consider: insomnia, cervicalgia, generalized body pain, diarrhea, tension type headache, primary stabbing headache

Educate: dry mouth, constipation, sedation

Avoid: obesity, cardiac arrhythmias, advanced age

American Headache Society. Headache. 2019;59:1-18



Examples of Medication Trials

Topiramate (Topamax)

Week 1: 25 mg HS Week 2: 25 mg BID Week 3: 25 mg in the morning, 50 mg HS Week 4: 50 mg BID

Consider: overweight, mood stabilization, severe migraine

Educate: paresthesias, kidney stones (calcium phosphate), weight loss, depression

Avoid: pregnancy, anorexia, history of kidney stones, glaucoma

Amitriptyline (Elavil)

Week 1: 10 mg HS Week 2: 20 mg HS Week 3: 30 mg HS Week 4: 40 mg HS Week 5: 50 mg HS

Consider: insomnia, cervicalgia, generalized body pain, diarrhea, tension type headache, primary stabbing headache

Educate: dry mouth, constipation, sedation

Avoid: obesity, cardiac arrhythmias, advanced age

Propranolol (Inderal)

Week 1: 10 mg HS Week 2: 10 mg BID Week 3: 10 mg in the morning, 20 mg at bedtime Week 4: 20 mg BID Week 5: 60 mg LA daily vs. 40 mg BID

Consider: tachycardia/HTN, anxiety

Educate: lethargy, dizziness, exercise intolerance, depression

Avoid: asthma, diabetes, bradycardia, congestive heart failure



So, what's NEW in Migraine Treatment...





HILLCREST HEALTHCARE SYSTEM Vascular Theory of Migraine

- Wolf (1940s-1960s)
- Aura caused by vasocontriction, pain caused by reactive

vaso





Copyright 1980. Icon Learning Systems, LLC. Reprinted with permission from Icon Learning Systems, LLC, illustrated by Frank H. Netter MD. All rights reserved.



HILLCREST HEALTHCARE SYSTEM Vascular Theory of Migraine

- Errors:
 - Most patients don't have aura
 - Does not explain premonitory systems
 - Some migraine medications don't affect blood vessels
 - Blood flow studies suggest that vasodilation is an epiphenomenon, NOT the cause of pain.
- **Dilation of blood vessels is neither necessary nor sufficient for causing migraine pain.



Trigeminovascular Theory of Migraine

- Migraine is primarily a disease of brain hyperexcitability
- Vasodilation may occur as part of the disorder, but is not required for migraine pain
- Migraine therapies do not work by constricting blood vessels
- Conclusion: Migraine is an inherited complex brain disorder, not a vascular headache



Trigeminovascular Theory of Migraine





Ashina et al. Nat Rev Neurol. 2017.



н М Phases of Migraine THE 4 PHASES OF MIGRAINE



Postdrome:

-hypothalamus -brainstem

-cortex







hillcrest

PRODROME - FEW HOURS - 48 HOURS

Irritability, mood changes (euphoria, elation, increased energy) Anxiety, depression Fatigue, lethargy Yawning Food cravings Anorexia Increased thirst Autonomic changes (nasal/sinus congestion)

Nausea Neck pain Muscle aching, stiffness Difficulty speaking and/or reading Difficulty concentrating, forgetfulness Sleep disturbances, insomnia Increased need to urinate Diarrhea and/or constipation

Sensitivity to light, sound, smell

AURA - 5 MIN - 60 MIN (25% OF INDIVDUALS)

Visual disturbances (scinitillations, distortion, scotomatas) Sensory changes (numbness, tingling)

Vertigo Dysarthria Hemiparesis Ataxia

HEADACHE - 4 HOURS - 72 HOURS

Throbbing/pulsating Drilling Icepick in the head Burning Unilateral>Bilateral Exacerbated by movement Anxiety, depression Nausea and/or vomiting

Sensitivity to light, sound, smell Neck pain, stiffness Autonomic changes (nasal/sinus congestion) Giddiness Insomnia

POSTDROME -18 HOURS - 48 HOURS

Fatigue Sore muscles Depressed mood Euphoric mood Lack of comprehension Diarrhea or constipation

Autonomic changes (nasal/sinus congestion)

Headache: -brainstem -thalamus -hypothalamus





Postdrome: -cortex



39



Calcitonin Gene-Related Peptide (CGRP)

- Neuropeptide widely distributed throughout the nervous system; and throughout many portions of the trigeminovascular pathway
- Elevated in plasma from the external jugular vein in acute attacks of migraine, cluster headache, and paroxysmal hemicrania.
- Levels of CGRP is highest in young adults (age 20-40) and declines with age similar to the pattern seen with migraine.
- Infusions provoke migraine
- Blockade prevents migraine
- Potent vasodilator

crest

 4 current FDA approved monoclonal antibodies to CGRP ligand or its receptor



HILLCREST HEALTHCARE SYSTEM CGRP in the Body

• Found throughout the body and modulates a variety of functioning.





HILLCREST HEALTHCARE SYSTEM CGRP Antagonists

- Monoclonal Antibodies (all preventive):
 - Ligand
 - Receptor
- Gepants (acute and preventive):
 - Receptor



Aubdool A. British Pharmacology. 2019.



	Erenumab (Aimovig®)	Fremanezumab (Ajovy®)	Galcanezumab (Emgality®)	Eptinezumab (Vyepti [®])
Target	Receptor	Ligand	Ligand	Ligand
Subclass	Human ("-umab")	Fully humanized (>95% human) ("-zumab")	Humanized (>90% human) ("-zumab")	Humanized (>90% human) ("-zumab")
Half-life	~ 28 days	~31 days	~27 days	~27 days
Dose and schedule	70 mg or 140 mg monthly SQ	225 mg monthly or 675 mg quarterly SQ	240 mg loading dose, then 120 mg monthly SQ	100 mg or 300 mg Quarterly IV, 30 minute infusion
Status	FDA approved, May 2018	FDA approved, September 2018	FDA approved, September 2018	FDA approved, February 2020
lgG	lgG2	IgG2∆a	lgG4	lgG4
Side Effects	Hypersensitivity reactions, Injection site reactions Constipation *New onset or worsening HTN	Hypersensitivity reactions, Injection site reactions	Hypersensitivity reactions, Injection site reactions	Hypersensitivity reactions, nasopharyngitis
hìll	crest	changi	ing lives for the bette	er, <i>together.</i> 43

CGRP Mabs: Episodic Migraine Prevention

EM treated with CGRP Monoclonal Antibodies 50% Responder Rates



^a Statistically significant difference vs placebo.

Goadsby PJ et al. *N Engl J Med.* 2017.; Dodick DW et al. *Cephalagia*. 2018.; Dodick DW et al. *JAMA*. 2018.; Stauffer VL et al. *JAMA Neurol*. 2018.; Skljarevski V et al. *Cephalalgia*. 2018. Saper R et al. AAN 2018. Abstract.



CGRP mAbs: Chronic Migraine Prevention

For comparison, the 50% response rate across all trials was 37%.



^a Statistically significant difference vs placebo.

crest

Smith et al. Headache 2017; 57:130; Silberstein et al. New Engl J Med 2017; 377:2113; Aurora et al. Headache 2011; 51:1358; Tepper et al. Lancet Neurol 2017;16:425; Detke et al. Headache 2017;57:1336-1337; Silberstein et al. Headache 2006;46:838; Brandes et al. Headache 2017;57:197; Bigal et al. Lancet Neurol 2015;14:1091 (note: phase II data); Detke et al. Cephalalgia 2017;37(1S):338; Dodick et al. Cephalalgia 2011;31:87.

CGRP mAbs vs. Standard Rx

	Monthly Migraine Days (mean change from baseline)	Days Using Acute Medications (mean change from baseline)	50% Responders (odds ratio)
Placebo	Reference	Reference	Reference
Erenumab 70 mg monthly	-1.3 (-1.8, -0.8)	-0.9 (-1.4, -0.4)	1.9 (1.4, 2.5)
Erenumab 140 mg monthly	-1.9 (-2.7, -1.2)	-1.6 (-2.4, -0.9)	2.2 (1.4, 3.3)
Fremanezumab 675 mg quarterly	-1.2 (-2.2, -0.3)	-1.1 (-2.0, -0.3)	1.7 (1.1, 2.7)
Fremanzeumab 225 mg monthly	-1.6 (-2.5, -0.8)	-1.2 (-2.0, -0.4)	1.9 (1.4, 2.9)
Galcanezumab 120 mg monthly	-1.8 (-2.4, -1.2)	-1.8 (-2.4, -1.2)	2.5 (1.9, 3.3)
Galcanezumab 240 mg monthly	-1.8 (-2.5, -1.2)	-1.7 (-2.3, -1.1)	2.4 (1.7, 3.2)
Topoiramate 50 mg/day	-0.2 (-1.0, 0.6)	-0.4 (-1.3, 0.4)	1.6 (1.1, 2.3)
Topiramate 100 mg/day	-1.2 (-1.7, -0.7)	-1.0 (-1.4, -0.5)	2.7 (2.1, 3.5)
Topiramate 200 mg/day	-1.0 (-1.5, -0.4)	-0.7 (-1.3, -0.2)	2.3 (1.7, 3.1)
Amitriptyline 25-100 mg/day	-1.1 (-2.2, 0.1)	-1.2 (-2.4, 0.1)	2.0 (1.2, 3.2)
Propranolol 160 mg/day	-1.2 (-2.0, -0.4)	-1.1 (-1.9, -0.3)	2.7 (1.7, 4.1)

https://icer-review.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/11/ICER_Migraine_Final_Evidence_Report_070318.pdf



CGRP mAbs vs. Standard Rx

	Monthly Migraine Days (mean change from baseline)	Days Using Acute Medications (mean change from baseline)	50% Responders (odds ratio)
Placebo	Reference	Reference	Reference
Erenumab 70 mg monthly	-1.3 (-1.8, -0.8)	-0.9 (-1.4, -0.4)	1.9 (1.4, 2.5)
Erenumab 140 mg monthly	-1.9 (-2.7, -1.2)	-1.6 (-2.4, -0.9)	2.2 (1.4, 3.3)
Fremanezumab 675 mg quarterly	-1.2 (-2.2, -0.3)	-1.1 (-2.0, -0.3)	1.7 (1.1, 2.7)
Fremanzeumab 225 mg monthly	-1.6 (-2.5, -0.8)	-1.2 (-2.0, -0.4)	1.9 (1.4, 2.9)
Galcanezumab 120 mg monthly	-1.8 (-2.4, -1.2)	-1.8 (-2.4, -1.2)	2.5 (1.9, 3.3)
Galcanezumab 240 mg monthly	-1.8 (-2.5, -1.2)	-1.7 (-2.3, -1.1)	2.4 (1.7, 3.2)
Topoiramate 50 mg/day	-0.2 (-1.0, 0.6)	-0.4 (-1.3, 0.4)	1.6 (1.1, 2.3)
Topiramate 100 mg/day	-1.2 (-1.7, -0.7)	-1.0 (-1.4, -0.5)	2.7 (2.1, 3.5)
Topiramate 200 mg/day	-1.0 (-1.5, -0.4)	-0.7 (-1.3, -0.2)	2.3 (1.7, 3.1)
Amitriptyline 25-100 mg/day	-1.1 (-2.2, 0.1)	-1.2 (-2.4, 0.1)	2.0 (1.2, 3.2)
Propranolol 160 mg/day	-1.2 (-2.0, -0.4)	-1.1 (-1.9, -0.3)	2.7 (1.7, 4.1)

https://icer-review.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/11/ICER_Migraine_Final_Evidence_Report_070318.pdf



Caveats

- Long term safety unknown
 - CGRP may be important fail-safe mechanism in ischemic emergencies
- Studies excluded complex, refractory patients
- Anecdotal reports of hair loss, joint pain
- Effect during pregnancy unknown
 - Recommend using effective birth control methods
 - Discontinue antibody therapy 6 months prior to conception
- Cost may be an issue for some



CGRP mAbs in Clinical Practice

- Consider prescribing in:
 - Patients with lack of response, inadequate response, or intolerance to 2-3 conventional preventive therapies
 - Conventional preventives contraindicated because of coexisting medical conditions
- Only listed contraindications to their use is hypersensitivity reactions
- No drug interactions*
- Insurance coverage varies widely
 - Assistance programs available, but some are limited to people who already have private insurance
- Discuss unknowns and document

CGRP Antagonists: Gepants

- Small molecule CGRP receptor antagonists
- Initial studies (olcegepant, telcagepant, MK3207) with difficulty with poor oral bioavailability and hepatotoxicity.
- New FDA approved Gepants: Ubrogepant 50/100 mg (acute), Rimegepant 75 mg ODT (dual), Atogepant 10/30/60 mg (preventive)



CGRP Antagonists: Gepant EM Preventive Treatment



^a Statistically significant difference vs placebo.

• Side effects: Rimegepant 75 mg EOD (nausea, abdominal pain/dyspepsia), Atogepant 10/30/60 mg (nausea, constipation, fatigue/somnolence, decreased appetite)

Croop R et al. Lancet 2019.; Croop R et al. Poster AAN. 2021.; Ailani J et al. N Engl J Med. 2021.



CGRP Antagonists: Gepant Clinical Pearls

- Side effects: fatigue/somnolence, nausea, constipation, decreased appetite
- 52 week open label studies without any additional safety/tolerability findings; majority of side effects mild-moderate
- May see response as early as the first week
- No MOH warnings
- No cardiovascular contraindications; still CGRP may be important fail-safe mechanism in ischemic emergencies
- Cost may be an issue, but not currently

OnabotulinumtoxinA (Botox®) for Chronic Migraine

- 1989 BTX FDA approved for strabismus
- 2010 PREEMPT Trials (Phase III REsearch Evaluating Migraine Prophylaxis Therapy)
 - Two large, parallel, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trials for BOTOX in chronic migraine
 - Age 18-65 with chronic migraine (MOH, as long as not opiates)
 - 155 UN, 31 injection sites.
 Optional extra 40 units in painful areas at discretion of investigator.

crest





Aurora SK, et al. Cephalalgia 2010; 30(7): 793-803. Diener HC, et al. Cephalalgia 2010; 30(7): 804-814.

HILLCREST HEALTHCARE SYSTEM HOW does Botox® work?

Precise mechanism is unknown

- Effect on muscle contraction does not fully explain pain response
 - Early studies on dystonia/hemifacial spasm noted some patients with minimal motor benefit but dramatic improvements in pain, sometimes outside the region of neuromusclar effect.
 - Patients can have dramatic improvement in migraines, with minimal muscle weakness.
- May prevent release of inflammatory mediators
 - Animal and in vitro studies show BTX blocks stimulated release of a variety of neuropeptides/neurotransmitters
 - Substance P from cultured dorsal root ganglion

neurons

rest

- CGRP form trigeminal ganglia neurons
- Glutamate from peripheral nerve terminals
- May block peripheral sensitization directly and central sensitization indirectly Brin MF, et al



Brin MF, et al. Neurotoxicology 2005; 26(%):785-793.

OnabotulinumtoxinA (Botox®) for Chronic Migraine

- BTX: 8.4 fewer days/mo (p<0.001)
- Placebo: 6.6 fewer days/mo

crest

• Baseline average: approx 20 days/mo



Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences Volume 1329, Issue 1, pages 67-80, 18 AUG 2014 DOI: 10.1111/nyas.12488

HILLCREST HEALTHCARE SYSTEM Summary

- Migraine is an inherited complex brain disorder that is primarily a disease of brain hyperexcitability; while vasodilation may occur as part of the disorder, it is not required nor sufficient to explain all migraine pain.
- Preventive migraine treatment is under-utilized and may have a significant positive impact on a patient's quality of life.
- Consider migraine prevention for patients with frequent migraine attacks (1-2 per week or more), significant disability associated with individual attacks, or poor response to acute treatment.
- There are many ways to optimize preventive treatment of migraine and new medications available with different mechanism of action that may be better tolerated.





HILLCREST HEALTHCARE SYSTEM Thank You





Migraine and risk of Ischemic Stroke

Migraine without aura





Migraine and cardiovascular disease: systematic review and meta-analysis .Markus Schürks, Pamela M Rist, Marcelo E Bigal, Julie E Buring, Richard B Lipton, Tobias Kurth. BMJ 2009;339:doi:10.1136/bmj.b3914 (Published 27 October 2009).

hillcrest

Estrogen Containing Contraceptives and Migraine With Aura

- <u>No contraindication</u> to exogenous estrogen in women who have migraine without aura
- Exogenous estrogens <u>contraindicated</u> in women who have migraine with aura
- Paucity of data about risk with low estrogen formulations
- Individualized approach with shared decision making often appropriate and increasingly emphasized

Migraine and cardiovascular disease: systematic review and meta-analysis .Markus Schürks, Pamela M Rist, Marcelo E Bigal, Julie E Buring, Richard B Lipton, Tobias Kurth. BMJ 2009;339:doi:10.1136/bmj.b3914 (Published 27 October 2009).



Serotonin Toxicity (ST)

- An acute toxic reaction to substances that enhance serotoninergic activity within the central nervous system.
- Most common with combined exposures to monoamine oxidase inhibitors (MAOIs) with selective or nonselective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SRIs).
- Triad:
 - Altered mental status (confusion, agitation)
 - Neuromuscular hyperactivity (clonus, myoclonus, hyperreflexia, tremor, shivering, rigidity)
 - Autonomic hyperactivity (tachypnea, tachycardia, fever, diaphoresis, mydriasis)



SSRI/SNRI and Triptan Co-Prescription

Table. Incidence of Serotonin Syndrome in Patients Receiving Triptans and Selective Serotonin Reuptake Inhibitors or Selective Norepinephrine Reuptake Inhibitors^a

Year	No. of Patients Receiving a Triptan Prescription ^b	No. (%) Exposed to Coprescription [95% CI]	No. of Definite Cases/Total No. of Cases ^b
2001	1444	717 (49.6) [47.1-52.2]	0/0
2002	2347	503 (21.4) [19.8-23.1]	0/0
2003	2827	647 (22.9) [21.3-24.4]	0/0
2004	3615	889 (24.6) [23.2-26.0]	0/0
2005	4767	1230 (25.8) [24.6-27.1]	0/0
2006 (FDA advisory)	6941	1827 (26.3) [25.3-27.4]	0/0
2007	8284	2163 (26.1) [25.2-27.1]	0/0
2008	9132	2244 (24.6) [23.7-25.5]	0/1
2009	9737	2326 (23.9) [23.1-24.7]	0/0
2010	10288	2433 (23.6) [22.8-24.5]	0/1
2011	11 566	2729 (23.6) [22.8-24.4]	0/2
2012	14 397	3665 (25.5) [24.8-26.2]	1/1
2013	16833	4645 (27.6) [26.9-28.3]	1/1
2014	17 353	4910 (28.3) [27.6-28.9]	0/1
Total	119 531	30 928 (25.9)[25.6-26.1]	2/7

Abbreviation: FDA, US Food and Drug Administration.

- ^a The incidence rate per 10 000 person-years was 0.6 (95% Cl, 0-1.5) for definite cases and 2.3 (95% Cl, 0.6-3.9) for total cases.
- ^b The total number of cases included definite and possible cases. Definite cases were those that met diagnostic criteria for serotonin syndrome with documented coprescriptions during the year of the event. Possible cases were those in which serotonin syndrome was suspected but did not meet diagnostic criteria, had insufficient information to apply diagnostic criteria, or in which triptan ingestion did not occur in temporal relation to the event but had documented coprescriptions during the year of the event.



 Annual prevalence of presumptive "triptan-associated ST" appears to be no greater than 0.7%. A published estimate of ST prevalence due to SRI treatment alone is 0.5 to 0.9 cases per 1,000 patient-months of SRI treatment.

Orlova Y et al, JAMA Neurology Published online February 26, 2018. doi:10.1001/jamaneurol.2017.5144. SKLAR DA et al. Headache. (2012) 52:198-203.



61

What About Marijuana?

- Paucity of good quality evidence, some positive case reports
- Many positive anecdotal patients reports
- Marijuana use is a risk factor for Reversible Cerebral Vasoconstriction Syndrome
- Lack of good information about safety in psychiatrically vulnerable populations.
- Emerging concerns for central sensitization
- General consensus in the headache world: "Not for, not against"



BTX, Does everyone respond?

- No
- Active studies trying to figure out predictors of response
- Patients with cutaneous allodynia may respond better
- Stopping after 2 rounds may be insufficient for some*
- After 5 cycles:
 - Headache days per month: $23.3 \pm 5.7 \rightarrow 9.2 \pm 3.6$
 - Migraine days per month: $18.5 \rightarrow 8.7$ (p<0.0001)
 - Acute medication days : $17.4 \rightarrow 8.1$ (p<0.0001)
 - − HIT-6 score: 72.4 ± 5.7 \rightarrow 50.2 ± 4.3



THE SON HeadacheDoctor